Message

From: Calvino, Maria Soledad [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=55D174C8F17E4B979B51E3377E521037-CALVINO, MA]

Sent: 12/6/2021 6:03:31 PM

To: adam.smith@independent.co.uk

Subject: RE: The Independent: TRW MICROWAVE, INC (BUILDING 825)

Hi Adam,

Margot has taken a new position with our HQ offices in Washington D.C., so I'm covering the Northern California beat for now. I've been in touch with the TRW Microwave site team and they are working on your inquiry. We are hoping to have a response for you next week. Does that work for you? Thank you,

Soledad Calvino

Press Officer | Office of Public Affairs U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 9 calvino.maria@epa.gov Office 415.972.3512 | Mobile 415.697.6289

From: Adam Smith <a dam.smith@independent.co.uk>

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 7:27 AM

To: PerezSullivan, Margot < PerezSullivan.Margot@epa.gov>

Subject: The Independent: TRW MICROWAVE, INC (BUILDING 825)

Hey Margot

Hope you're well! I'm a science and technology reporter for The Independent, and was hoping you could help me with something.

I'm looking at the TRW Microwave site that I believe Apple rents, and just wanted to see if I could get some more information about its status.

A Health and Environment page from the EPA in March (attached) put a "no" in the "Human Exposure Under Control" box – meaning "an unsafe level of contamination has been detected at the site and a reasonable expectation exists that people could be exposed".

The <u>page today</u> has "Yes" in that box now; I was hoping you could tell me what changes were made between March 2021 and today?

Also, under "Sitewide Ready for Anticipated Use", it said "No". The website still says "no". Could you tell me why that is?

In the same row, there is also a new note that has been added between March and now, stating: Important Note: Sitewide Ready for Anticipated Use (SWRAU) is an internal performance measure and is not a reporting of site-specific risk. The determination that a site is SWRAU is based on the information available at the time the determination is made. That determination may revert if site conditions change, or if new or additional information is discovered regarding the contamination at the site.

Could you tell me why that note was added?

Thanks very much!

All the best,

Adam Smith
Science and Technology reporter, The Independent

+44 7926 368551 <u>adamndsmith.com</u> Twitter: <u>@adamndsmith</u> Instagram: adamndsmith

The Independent 2 Derry Street, London, W8 5HF Independent.co.uk

Disclaimer

The contents of this e-mail (including any attachments) are confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of its contents is strictly prohibited, and you should notify the sender immediately and then delete it (including any attachments) from your system.

The Independent: Independent Digital News and Media Limited, 2 Derry Street, London, W8 5HF, U.K. (reg. no 7320345)

Evening Standard: Evening Standard Limited, Northcliffe House, 2 Derry Street, Kensington, London, W8 5TT, U.K. (reg. no 6770098)

London Live: ESTV Limited, 2 Derry Street, Kensington, London, W8 5HF, U.K. (reg. no 8169523)

All companies are registered in England and Wales.